

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,353 02.		02/12/2004 Kenneth C. Johnson		TWI-30510	5063
28584	7590	07/22/2004	EXAMINER		
		LLOCK LLP	TSAI, CAROL S W		
SUITE 2200		amp n.c	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
353 SACRA			AKTONII	TATER NOWIBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, C	CA 94111	2857		

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/777,353		JOHNSON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
_		Carol S Tsai		2857				
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Indicate the mailing date of this communication. Indicate the maximum statutory period or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how by within the statutory min will apply and will expire be, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status								
1)□ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2004.						
·=	57							
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)⊠ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra aim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consider						
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2004</u> is/ar oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be held ction is required if th	l in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	ts have been rece ts have been rece prity documents h uu (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National (Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Ition O(s)/Mail Date <u>02/12/2004</u> .	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:)-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/777,353

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,844,684 to Maris et al. in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,211,921 to Maris.

Maris et al. disclose a method of evaluating a diffracting structure formed on a semiconductor sample comprising the steps of: including interpolation points and associated theoretical optical response characteristics, each interpolation point corresponding to a sample parameter set and with the associated theoretical optical response characteristics being determined by applying a sample model to each of the parameter sets (see Abstract, lines 13-17; col. 4, lines 29-45; and col. 7, line 59 to col. 8, line 21); measuring the actual optical response characteristics of the sample (see col. 4, lines 46-60 and col. 14, line 51 to col. 15, line 8); and iteratively interpolating between the interpolation points using an interpolation model that defines a substantially continuous function which intersects with the interpolation points in order to derive a set of interpolated optical response characteristics that best fit the actual optical response characteristics to evaluate the sample (see col. 17, line 64 to col. 18, line 9).

Maris et al. do not disclose creating a database.

Maris teaches creating a database (see col. 25, lines 11-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,353

Art Unit: 2857

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Maris et al.'s method to include creating a database, as taught by Maris, in order that data can be stored.

As to claim 2, Maris et al. also disclose the optical response characteristics being in the form of one or both of complex reflectance coefficients and scattering matrices (see col. 15, lines 9-22).

As to claim 3, Maris et al. also disclose said optical response characteristics being created and measured as a function of wavelength (see col. 7, lines 52-58).

As to claim 4, Maris et al. also disclose said interpolation model utilizing one or more of linear, multi-cubic, and quadratic functions (see col. 17, lines 6-19).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5-10 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- U. S. Patent No. 5,844,684 to Maris et al. is the reference closest to the claimed invention. Maris et al. disclose a method of evaluating parameters of a diffracting structure formed on semiconductor samples comprising the steps of: calculating optical response characteristics for selected parameter sets, each set of parameters corresponding to an interpolation point; measuring an optical signal of a sample; and evaluating the parameters of the sample by iteratively fitting the optical signal with the interpolation model. However, Maris et al. do not teach defining a continuous model of the optical responses as a function of the parameters

that equals the optical responses at the interpolation points; and including all of the other limitations in the respective independent claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 5. disclosure.

Johnson discloses a reduced multicubic database interpolation method.

Seziner et al. disclose alignment accuracy between two or more patterned layers being measured using a metrology target comprising substantially overlapping diffraction gratings formed in a test area of the layers being tested.

Johnson et al. disclose a method of measuring at least one parameter associated with a portion of a sample having formed thereon one or more structures with at least two zones each having an associated zone reflectance property.

Chou et al. disclose a method providing estimations of physical interconnect process parameter values in a process for manufacturing integrated circuits.

Maris discloses a method for characterizing a sample includes the steps of (a) providing a semiconductor material; (b) applying at least one of an electric field, a pulsed or cw light source, a change in temperature and/or a change in pump pulse intensity to the semiconductor material; (c) absorbing pump light pulses in a portion of the semiconductor material and measuring changes in optical constants as indicated by probe light pulses applied at some time t following the absorption of the pump light pulses; and (e) associating a measured change in the optical

Application/Control Number: 10/777,353

Art Unit: 2857

constants with at least one of a surface charge, dopant concentration, trap density, or minority carrier lifetime.

Nishizawa et al. disclose an interference waveform dispersion spectrum of light reflected from a multi-layer film being compared to a waveform obtained by numerical calculation using an optical characteristic matrix.

Fujita et al. disclose micro-dimensional measurement apparatus including an optical scanning system and a processor for optical data obtained therefrom the measurement being based upon a comparison of previously prepared reference data and optical data from an object to be measured, and features use of a twin laser beam in the scanning operation.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. W. Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1585 or (571) 272-2800.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a

Application/Control Number: 10/777,353 Page 6

Art Unit: 2857

fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai Patent Examiner Art Unit 2857

Cals. M. 21

07/16/04